IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELALTE SIDE

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4209 OF 2006

Mr. Shashikant K. Gandhi ..Applicant
V/s
M/s ITC Bhadrachalam Financials
And Investments and Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr.A.P.Mundargi, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr.Manohar H. Ramsanghani,Advocate i/b M/s Mulla and Mulla and C.B.C. for respondent No.1.

CORAM : J.H. BHATIA, J.

DATE : 6TH JUNE , 2007.

P.C.

. Heard Mr. Mundargi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mr. Ramsanghani, learned Counsel for respondent No.1.

2. The applicant has preferred this application to quash the process issued by the learned Magistrate on the complaint filed by respondent No.1 under section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act. The complaint was filed in the year 1996 and the process was also issued in 1996. The applicant had preferred revision application on 18/6/2006 and on account of inordinate delay, the revision application was rejected. Thereafter this

application under section 482 Cr.P.C. is filed.

3. In view of inordinate delay of about 10 years and latches on the part of the applicant in challenging the issuance of process, I am not inclined to invoke inherent jurisdiction.

4. Therefore, the application stands rejected.

(J.H. BHATIA, J.)